

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,601	06/26/2003	Richard W. Gross	15060-40	5014	
7	590 05/05/2006		EXAMINER		
Patrick W. Rasche Armstrong Teasdale LLP			COLE, MONIQUE T		
Suite 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Metropolitan Square			1743	<u> </u>	
St. Louis, MO 63102			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2000	DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/606,601	GROSS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Monique T. Cole	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. UNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	February 2006.	·	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	·		
4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-48 is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	·	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad		-	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· -·		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Ap	plication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the principle.	iority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.	•
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
 Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/606,601 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Those persons making the oath or declaration should acknowledge the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56. *This may include, but is not limited to, prior publication, knowledge, patents, foreign patents, etc.* See *Dayco Prod., Inc. v. Total Containment, Inc.*, 329 F.3d 1358, 1365-69, 66 USPQ2d 1801,1806-08 (Fed. Cir. 2003) (contrary decision of another examiner reviewing substantially similar claims is material; copending application may be material even though it cannot result in a shorter patent term, when it could affect the rights of the patentee to assign the issued patents). See also *KangaROOS U.S.A., Inc. v. Caldor, Inc.*, 778 F.2d 1571, 1576, 228 USPO 32, 35 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 2/10/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there would be no burden on the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because the additional groups would require additional search not required for the other groups.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1743

The claim recites the listing of blood, serum, tissue biopsy, feces and urine samples. It is not clear whether it is applicant's intention for all of these samples to be present or just one. If it is applicant's intent for a selection to be made, the claim is in improper Markush form and should be drafted in accordance with the guidelines set forth in the MPEP.

3. Claims 4 and 10 recites the limitation "TG." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Han et al. "Electrospray ionization mass spectroscopic analysis of human erythrocyte plasma membrane phospholipids" (herein referred to as "Han"), Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci, Vol. 91, 10635-10639, (1994).

Han teaches electrospray ionization mass spectrometry utilized for the structural determination and quantitative analysis of phospholipids molecular species from human erythrocyte plasma membrane phospholipids. This can be performed directly from chloroform extracts of biologic samples. See abstract.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique T. Cole whose telephone number is 571-272-1255. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday & Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monique T. Cole Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

mtc